

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Viginia 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	). CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/027,538	12/20/2001	ChiefLin	2011027		
7	590 09/30/2003				
Keith Kline PRO-TECHTOR INTERNATIONAL SERVICES 20775 Norada Court			EXAMINER		
			DAVIS, ROBERT B		
Saratoga, CA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1722		

DATE MAILED: 09/30/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

n. 5		Application No. Applican		Applicant(s)	nt(s)				
		10/027,538		LIN ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Robert B. Davis		1722					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apported in the communication apport.	pears on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence a	ddress				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Insigns of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1  SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replest of the provision	36(a). In no event, howen y within the statutory min will apply and will expire a cause the application to	over, may a reply be tim imum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered tim the mailing date of this D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·							
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-fi	nal.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims									
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.								
7)									
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
-	ion Papers	4							
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 December 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been rece	ived.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been rece	ived in Application	on No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
			-		al application)				
<ul><li>14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).</li><li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li></ul>									
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachmen	t(s)								
2) 🔲 Notic	ee of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) 5) 6)		(PTO-413) Paper N Patent Application (P					
U.S. Patent and T		otion Cummon.	<u> </u>						

Application/Control Number: 10/027,538

Art Unit: 1722

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tsuruta (6,200,121: figures 2A-7B).

Tsuruta teaches a jig structure (20) for an integrated circuit package (2), the jig structure comprising: a base (22) with a plurality of receiving regions for receiving the integrated circuits (1, see figure 5), a mold plate (21) covering the base, a plurality of inlets (24f) on the mold plate corresponding to the receiving regions on the base, and a

Application/Control Number: 10/027,538

Art Unit: 1722

projection (21c) between each inlet and the corresponding receiving regions of the base. The projection (21c) has a strip-shape as shown in figure 4.

3. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Prior (US Patent Application Publication US 2002/0101006 A1: see figures 1-4).

Prior teaches a jig structure for encapsulating a plurality of chips (3), the jig structure comprising: a base (9) formed with a plurality of receiving regions for receiving the chips (3), a mold plate (10) covering the base, a plurality of inlets (19) formed on the mold plate at locations corresponding to the chips on the base (see figure 1), and a strip-shaped projection (15) between the inlets and the mold cavity.

4. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lee et al (US Patent Application Publication US 2002/0072153 A1: see figures 2A-4B).

Prior teaches a jig structure for encapsulating a plurality of chips (140), the jig structure comprising: a base (130) formed with a plurality of receiving regions for receiving the chips (140), a mold plate (160) covering the base, a plurality of inlets (162) formed on the mold plate at locations corresponding to the chips on the base (see figure 3), and a strip-shaped projection (165) between the inlets and the mold cavity.

## Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The remaining references show various mold encapsulating assemblies.

Application/Control Number: 10/027,538

Art Unit: 1722

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert B. Davis whose telephone number is 703-308-2625. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda L. Walker can be reached on 703-308-0457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Robert B. Davis Primary Examiner Art Unit 1722

9/23/12